



e-Research Infrastructures and Scientific Communication

Jenny Fry, Jennifer A. De Beer,
Ralph Schroeder

Oxford Internet Institute
<http://www.oii.ox.ac.uk>

IATUL 2007 | June 11 – 13 |
Stockholm, Sweden



Oxford e-Social Science Project



OXFORD UNIVERSITY
COMPUTING LABORATORY



Outline

- Background
- Emergent Patterns in Scientific and Scholarly Communication
- Levels and Components of Infrastructures and e-Research
 - Tools versus Resources
- Shared access initiatives in – and for – developing countries
- Conclusion



Background (1)

- Increasing role of/policy towards Infrastructures for e-Research
- Networks of tools and data shared by communities of researchers
- Niche system within scientific communication, or more a move to broadly integrated knowledge production, dissemination, and access system?
- Integration vs. fragmentation



Background (2)

- Emerging challenges
 - Extent of openness(?), with varied implementation
 - Untangling interrelated social, institutional and technical networks
 - Blurring of distinction between primary resources, tools, and secondary resources
- Key challenges focused on intersection of openness, e-Research, and e-infrastructures



Emergent Patterns in Scientific & Scholarly Communication

- Increasing importance of processing, storage, and dissemination of data, in some fields
 - Data: simulated, in-silico, synthetic
 - Recognition of data as valid scientific outputs
- Traditional gatekeepers play differential role
- Fragmented communication system in relation to e-Research
- Alternative models of dissemination by-pass traditional models
- Stratification in openness: governance and practice



Levels & Components of Infrastructures and e-Research

- Research policy
- Organizational and technical forces
- Everyday practices of researchers
- Openness
 - various parts of the digital infrastructure and the tools connected to it, should be able to interrelate in a flexible and seamless way
 - difficult to achieve in practice
 - forms of openness still fluid due to its nascent nature



Tools versus Resources (1)

- cf. fluid knowledge and static structures
- *Tools* - means for generating and processing data and information
- *Resources* – intermediary layer providing access to data and information that has been processed
- Both produced and used at various levels of e-Research systems, but;
- Increasingly traditional distinction becoming blurred



Tools versus Resources (2)

- Subject to incremental improvement
- Neither completely fluid or static
- Both can be “open” or “closed”
 - input and output (resources)
 - development and access (tools)
- Both parts of larger institutional and organizational infrastructures,
 - requiring funding and skills
- Brings us to problematic for developing countries



Shared Access Initiatives in – and for – developing countries (1)

- Two levels of participation
 - network (cyberinfrastructure, e-Science, e-infrastructure)
 - scientific communication
- Participation in GRID or high-performance computing initiatives exception cf. rule
- Mostly nascent national research and education networks (NRENs) across Africa
- DCs mostly not at cutting-edge in uses of truly advanced networks



Shared Access Initiatives in – and for – developing countries (2)

- Disparity between DC participation (e.g. Latin America vis-a-vis Africa)
 - Interconnection does occur, but...
- Participation in GRID or high-performance computing initiatives exception cf. rule
 - Mostly nascent national research and education networks (NRENs) across Africa
- DCs mostly not at cutting-edge in uses of truly advanced networks
 - BELIEF, 6DISS, EGEE initiatives to bridge this gap



Shared Access Initiatives in – and for – developing countries (3)

- Open Access journals and self-archiving via institutional repositories (IRs)
- Access to journals: initiatives mostly limited to expansion of extant journal stables into DCs
- Institutional repositories: proxy for DC institutions to help themselves e.g.
- 627 organisations in OpenDOAR
 - 1% (6 sites) African continent,
 - 1% (4 sites) in Central America,
 - 4% (22) in South America, and
 - 6% (40) in Asia



Shared Access Initiatives in – and for – developing countries (4)

- Worrying: as much as e-Research takes off in the developed world...
- A parallel, slow and uneven adoption, or even at times non-adoption, for the developing world can be predicted.
- Challenge: consideration of how the developing world may be kept in line with e-Research developments in the developed world.



Conclusion

- Growing diversity of practices in scientific and scholarly communication
- e-Research systems add a layer of complexity
- Making open systems extend to DCs involves a range of issues
- Need to combine a variety of disciplinary perspectives to recognise limits of congealing system
 - Sociology of S&T, Info Science, Research Policy + Development and Area Studies



Thank You

The work of Schroeder and Fry on this project is part of the Oxford e-Social Science (OeSS) Project: ethical, legal and institutional dynamics of grid-enabled e-sciences, supported by Economic and Social Research Council grant RES-149-25-1022.

Jenny Fry, Jennifer A. De Beer

Ralph Schroeder

Oxford Internet Institute <http://www.oii.ox.ac.uk>