The interim evaluation method of the national project for institutional repositories in Japan

Yuko Murakami National Institute of Informatics Joint work with Syun Tutiya (Chiba) and Yoshinori Sato (Tohoku Gakuin)

## National Institute of Informatics (NII)

- ODowntown Tokyo
- OEstablished in April 2000
- OInter-university research institute specializing in informatics
- •Also services on superhigh speed network and scholarly information dissemination.

#### OCyberscience infrastructure



### **Cyberscience infrastructure (CSI)**

CSI initiative aims at providing industrial and social contributions as well as a base for international cooperation on scientific research.

- The initiative includes projects focusing on:
  - ≻networks,
  - ≻grid research,
  - inter-university public key infrastructure (UPKI),
  - >and scholarly content services.





8 00,000 researchers in Japan (# 3 in the world)

- --- including the Imperial Majesty Emperor Akihito
- □790,510 ISI journal articles 1996-2006 (#2 in the world)
- **7**44 universities in Japan
- 409 universities award Ph.D. degrees
- □16,000 obtain Ph.D. a year

5

# Institutional repositories as the content layer in the Cyberscience Infrastructure

- 2004 experimental
  - -6 universities
  - software localization
- 2005 pilot: placed in the CSI framework
  - 19 universities
  - Implementation and initial operations
- 2006-2008 full-fledged initiative
  - The next-generation scientific information resources infrastructure.

## 2006: 2 project areas

- 1.Promotion of open access repositories in Japan
  - 57 universities out of 77 applications
- 2.22 R&D projects to facilitate the dissemination of scholarly contents.
  - Carried out by 37 universities
- Budget
  - 2006: 300 million JPY (2.6 million USD, 18M SEK)



### 22 R&D projects

- Connection to link resolvers: OAcounterpart of CrossRef
- Integrated search
- Multi-type data
- Connection to faculty performance database
- **I**R community development
- Copyright policies of Japanese academic journals
- XooNIps library module
- E-publication on IR
- Development of IR evaluation methods...

National Institute of Informatics NII

#### The interim evaluation

February to March 2007

- 2 evaluation committees: one for promotional projects, the other for R&D projects
- □ 3 committee members evaluate each project

4 ranks:

- Excellent; recommend a presentation at the debriefing session
- Good: progress as planned
- Fair: progress behind the initial plan, but retrievable
- Poor: progress delay; need to discuss possibility of cessation

#### **Evaluation of promotional projects**

- Evaluation methods for IR operations developed by a commissioned project (Chiba and Mie Universities)
  - System
  - Institutionalization
  - Marketing activities
  - Input
- ■To recognize various activities and encourage them in working on IRs



#### **Checklist: system**

### Date of system choice, software

- Installation
- Date of NII harvesting
- Experimental release date
- Release date
- Cost
  - Initial
  - Maintenance
  - Development

- Agreement of board meeting
- Documentation of IR implementation
- Documentation of operation regulations
- □University-level committee to implement and operate an IR
- Administrative structure to implement and operate an IR

#### **Checklist: Marketing activities**

- Release memorial event
- Briefing sessions for researchers
- Individual briefing at labs
- Presentations at
  - international workshops
  - Domestic workshops
- Organization of workshops
- Registration to repository directories (DOAR, ROAR)
- Registration to search engines (OAlster, Google Scholar, Scirus...)

#### **Checklist: input**

Increase of number of contents by typesOverlay journals

FTE and tasks carried out by regular member
Cost of content creation (digitization, metadata...)
Other personnel cost

Number and percentage of faculty members who deposit any contents

#### IRs in Japan in 2006



#### IRs in Japan in 2006

- DSpace is prevailing (34)
- □1.2 FTE on average
- 281,055 items prepared for IRs
  - 46.2%: departmental bulletin articles
  - 41.9%: special collections and miscellaneous
  - 5.9%: journal articles

Ochanomizu University: Almost 100% of faculty members provide an item

- Shinsyu University: IR as a component of united campus information system; open source development.
- Consortia in 5 areas--- e.g.
  - Area studies (Hiroshima, Nagasaki),
  - Collaboration with city library (Okayama)

### **Evaluation of R&D projects**

## 2006: 22 projects

- Task progress, compared to the initial plan
- Outgrowth: effects on other academic institutions, not just on those in charge
- Feasibility of future plan (2007 and after)
- Subject to reorganization
  - Similar projects
  - Low influence to other academic institutions
    - System development
    - Content development

### 2007-2008

Commissioned project integration: 9 projects

- Integration and presentation of contents of various types
- Connection to faculty performance database
- IR community development
- Connection to link-resolvers
- Copyright policy database
- XooNlps library module
- IR evaluation methods
- T2R2: Unified academic information system
- □ 13 new partners
- In NII
  - Electronic dissertations and theses
    - See our presentation at ETD 2007
  - Research data in IR
- 2007: 260 million JPY (2.2M USD, 15M SEK)